

Step 1 - Determine What Waste is Collected and How

TABLE A - Collection Method for each waste streams and associated Tonnages 2013-14

| Collection Method | Targeted Materials | Collection <br> Frequency | Predominant Container Types/Sizes | No. <br> Households <br> offered <br> scheme | Tonnes collected 1314 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kerbside Co-mingled recycling | Paper \& card, metal cans and aerosols, plastic bottles. | Fortnightly | 1801/ 2401 wheeled bin | 48,350 | 7,362.56 |
| Kerbside Separate collections | Glass | n/a | n/a | 48,350 | 0 |
|  | Green garden waste | Fortnightly | reusable sack | 48,350 | 3,796 |
|  | Food | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 |
|  | Batteries | n/a | n/a | 48,350 | 0 |
| Kerbside Refuse | Mixed non-recyclable waste | Fortnightly | 1801/ 2401 wheeled bin | 48,350 | 23,040 |
| Bring sites | Textiles, paper, glass, small WEEE | Variable | Mixed containers | 48,350 | 2,679 |
| Bulky waste collections | Mixed household materials, including WEEE, furniture etc | By appointment | n/a | 48,350 | 130.14 |
| Clinical waste | Offensive/incontinence waste etc | as required | Bags, sharps boxes | 48,350 | 38.37 |
| Street cleaning material | Mixed material from street cleansing operations (litter, flytipping, street sweepings) | n/a | n/a | 48,350 | 2,087 |
| Household Waste Recycling Centres (provided by WDA) | Segregated containers for a range of materials. Including garden waste, WEEE, rubble, soil, wood, and residual waste | n/a | n/a | 48,350 | 16,816 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 55,948.29 |

Step 2 - Check How Collected Materials are Treated and Recycled
TABLE B - Application of the Waste Hierarchy


TABLE C - Income received from recyclate

| FBC |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Material | Income from material sale | Income from <br> Recycling credit | Total income |
| Glass | 58,227 | 71,534 | 0 |
| Co-mingled recycling | 288,537 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 129,761 |
| Other materials <br> (paper. Textiles) | 78,507 | 8,158 | 288,537 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 2 5 , 2 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 , 6 9 2}$ | 86,665 |

## TABLE D - Breakdown of MRF inputs

| Material |  | Tonnage | \% of MRF inputs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material Collected as DMR |  | 7,362.56 | 100 |
| Total Targeted materials collected | Paper \& Card | 5,568.94 | 75.64 |
|  | Metal | 360.56 | 4.90 |
|  | Plastic bottles | 562.28 | 7.64 |
|  | Total | 6,491.77 | 88.17 |
| Targeted Material sent to market | Paper \& Card | 5,460.79 | 74.17 |
|  | Metal | 350.82 | 4.76 |
|  | Plastic bottles | 525.89 | 7.14 |
|  | Total | 6,337.50 | 86.08 |
| Total MRF Residue |  | 1,025.06 | 13.92 |
| Targeted Material process loss | Paper \& Card | 108.14 | 1.47 |
|  | Metal | 9.74 | 0.13 |
|  | Plastic bottles | 36.39 | 0.49 |
|  | Total | 154.27 | 2.10 |
| Non-Targeted material | Other Plastic | 374.93 | 5.09 |
|  | Beverage Cartons | 44.12 | 0.60 |
|  | Glass | 40.03 | 0.54 |
|  | Food waste | 41.07 | 0.56 |
|  | Undesirable DMR | 43.95 | 0.60 |
|  | Other | 326.68 | 4.44 |
|  | Total | 870.79 | 11.83 |
| Destination of MRF Residue | Landfill | 180.64 | 2.45 |
|  | Energy Recovery | 844.42 | 11.47 |
| Rejected by reprocessor |  | 4.44 | 0.06 |

TABLE E - Current Glass Capture Rate 2013-14

| Total glass not being <br> recycled - tonnage | Total glass collected for <br> recycling - tonnage | Total glass in <br> overall waste <br> stream - tonnage | Glass capture - \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## TABLE F - Reprocessor Destination Information

| Commodity | Notes on reprocessing |
| :--- | :--- |
| Plastic Bottles | In 2013-14, 99.6\% of PI plastic bottles were reprocessed by Closed Loop <br> Recycling Limited. They reprocess post-consumer plastic bottles into food <br> grade resin, as well as non-food grades. |
| News and <br> Pamphlets | In 2013-14, 99\% of news and pamphlets was sent to Aylesford Newsprint or <br> UPM Kymmene. Aylesford state that they receive "500,000 tonnes of <br> recovered fibre annually in order to manufacture on average 400,000 tonnes <br> of 100\% recycled newsprint." <br> UPM state that they receive "640,000 tonnes of recovered paper per year. It <br> is the largest newsprint mill in the UK, producing newsprint for the national <br> and the regional press, with capacity to produce 500,000 tonnes a year." |
| Aluminium | $100 \%$ of PI aluminium is recycled by Novelis UK Ltd. Ingots produced have a <br> wide range of uses, including recycling back into beverage cans. |
| Steel | $86 \%$ of PI steel is reprocessed by AMG Resources Ltd, into new steel products |
| Cardboard | Around 75\% of PI material may be exported. This is subject to strict controls, <br> and will be recycled back into a cardboard product. |
| Mixed paper | $100 \%$ recycled by UK-based Aylesford or UPM (as detailed above) or DS <br> Smith. Material is recycled into paper products |

Step 3 - Apply the Waste Hierarchy


The Waste Hierarchy can be applied to Fareham's waste arisings. The table below shows the proportion of Fareham's waste according to the treatment of it, for the year 2013-14.

| Treatment Option | Percentage of total waste |
| :--- | :---: |
| Re-use (books, shoes and some <br> textiles) | $0.6 \%$ |
| Recycling (includes other textiles, <br> blue top bin, glass, paper and garden <br> waste) | $34.84 \%$ |
| Energy recovery (includes refuse, <br> rejected recycling, bulky waste, <br> street sweepings, fly tipped waste) | $56.56 \%$ |
| Landfill (includes waste from HWRC <br> and bottom ash) | $8 \% *$ |
| Total waste | $100 \%$ |

(*landfill figure is estimated for Fareham as data is only available for Hampshire)

## Step 4 - Decide Whether Separate Collection of the 4 Materials is Required

The current collection system operating across the Borough provides high quality recyclate which is sent for sorting and reprocessing at a local MRF. The income received from the sale of the recyclate is of a significant value, providing evidence of the high quality of the product. The recyclate is independently assessed periodically by DEFRA and considered to be of a consistently high quality.

The introduction of separate collections of the recyclate across the Borough would not be technically, environmentally and economically practicable (TEEP). This is due to:
a. The significant capital and revenue costs and potential loss of income that would be incurred.
b. The practical issues of implementing a new collections system in terms of communication with residents and the significant period of service disruption that would result from the collection of redundant bins and allocation of new boxes. It is estimated that this period would need to be at least six months in order to cope with the logistics of the changeover.
c. Additional vehicle movements increasing the carbon emissions of the vehicle fleet.

The review of current collection arrangements contained in this report confirms that changing to separate recycling collections is not necessary to achieve high quality recyclates and is not technically, environmentally and economically practicable (TEEP) As a consequence it is recommended that separate collections of recyclate are not implemented in Fareham at this time.

## Step 5 - Obtain Sign Off

Report to Streetscene Policy Development and Review Panel 23 October 2014
Report to Executive 1 December 2014

## Step 6 - Retain Evidence

All research materials and evidence will be retained in the Council's records, along with copies of the Report to the Executive and Streetscene Panel which are available electronically.

## Step 7 - Re-evaluation Process

Officers will assess any proposed collection policy changes against the new regulations prior to any recommendations being put to Members for decision.

